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Abstract: In the present pandemic time, almost all teaching-learning processes have been shifted to online mode. In this online setting, Personalized Adaptive Learning (PAL) educational technology products have become popular amongst educators. PAL systems are facilitating Ubiquitous learning in learner-centric ways by enabling learners to learn anywhere, anytime, at their own pace, and even in settings where teachers are not present (e.g., at home). Such systems require learners to apply self-regulation skills to achieve learning goals. However, guidelines for designing scaffolds for self-regulation in PAL systems for informal (out of school) settings are not readily available. In this paper, we present a systematic literature review of relevant papers published between 2001 and 2021 to understand what guidelines exist. We then propose a set of guidelines that may form the basis for designing effective scaffolds in PAL systems for informal environments for promoting self-regulated learning. The set of proposed guidelines are mapped with ‘cyclical phases model’ of self-regulation by Zimmerman which will be helpful for PAL system designers.
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1. Introduction

The positive disruption by ubiquitous learning environments in the educational technology domain is experienced by almost all stakeholders in the last decade. A plethora of different Personalized Adaptive Learning (PAL) solutions are being designed by EdTech companies and these are being used by children in ubiquitous learning environments (ULE) which allows seamless mobility in the learning process and is not tied to a specific location. ULE uses a variety of small, portable electronic devices (e.g., smartphones, tablets, smart wearable gadgets, etc.) to create new learning opportunities for learners to learn anywhere, anytime, and at one’s own pace (Karoudis & Magoulas, 2016). Such portable environments have seen an increase in use in the present pandemic situation when all teaching-learning processes have shifted to online mode, irrespective of the level of readiness of parents and children. In this online setting, Personalized Adaptive Learning (PAL) educational technology products have become especially popular amongst educators. PAL systems are facilitating Ubiquitous learning (U-learning) in learner-centric ways by enabling learners to learn anywhere, anytime, at their own pace, and even in settings where teachers are not present (e.g., at home). Such systems adapt content and/or assessments based on the learner’s performance and interaction with the given resources. To make this process effective, such ubiquitous and adaptive systems require learners to apply self-regulation skills to achieve the learning goals (Leonor & Alejandro, 2019). Self-regulation is defined as the control that learners have over their cognition, behavior, emotions, and motivation through the use of personal strategies to achieve the goals they have established (Panadero & Alonso, 2014). However, to help learners practice self-regulation, sufficient scaffolding is required. But to design scaffolding to SRL in PAL based informal (out of school) ULE systems settings the required guidelines are not available. This paper analyses relevant publications between 2001 and 2021 to present a systematic literature review of existing guidelines. We then propose a set of guidelines that may form the basis for designing effective scaffolds in PAL-based ULE systems for informal environments for promoting self-regulated learning. The following research questions guide our review process- 1) What are the existing design
guidelines for scaffolding self-regulation in PAL-based ubiquitous learning environments?, 2) Which of these guidelines have empirical evidence of their effectiveness? and 3) What are the potential pedagogical and technological operationalization of design guidelines for scaffolding self-regulation in PAL-based ULE for K-12 learners?

2. Methodology

2.1 Search Keywords & Articles

We identified keywords based on the identified domain to search for potential research papers. The search keywords for ubiquitous environments were “ubiquitous learning environments” or “U-Learning” or “ubiquitous technology in learning”. The search keywords for self-regulation are “Self-regulated learning” or “SRL in children” or “SRL in mathematics” or “SRL for academic achievements”. The search keywords for scaffolding are “support in SRL” or “parental support in SRL” or “parental support for children” or “scaffolding in SRL”. The search keywords for exploring models of self-regulation and its comparative analysis are “SRL models” or “Review of SRL models” or “Comparison of self-regulated learning models”. The scope of this review is limited to the past decade i.e., 2001 to 2021.

We searched research databases such as IEEE xplore, Science Direct, Google Scholar to find the relevant research papers. The following inclusion criteria were applied to select the research papers that were found most relevant to our objectives. We considered the papers that included at least metalevel guidelines or provided empirical evidence for the effectiveness of design guidelines for scaffolding in SRL-enabled PALs. The papers which were suggesting some general scaffolding in the classroom or suggesting some parental scaffolding external to PAL were excluded as per exclusion criteria. These inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied to effectively investigate the design guidelines for scaffolding in the self-regulation process. In the initial search using the specified keywords, we have found a total of 679 research papers. Out of 679, we have selected 24 broadly relevant research papers after applying inclusion criteria. After reviewing those 24 research papers, we have found 7 research papers closely aligned with our review objectives.

3. Literature review

3.1 Mapping with SRL Model

To map the design guidelines to the standard SRL models, this study reviewed different SRL models such as the cyclical phases model by (Zimmerman & Moylan, 2009), (COPES) Winne’s SRL model adapted (Winne & Hadwin, 1998). After getting insights from different SRL models, the cyclical phases model by Zimmerman & Moylan (2009) was selected because it has strong connections with social cognitive theory as compared to the COPES model. Zimmerman’s model (Figure 1) also lays more emphasis on the influence of motivation on self-regulation (Panadero & Alonso, 2014) which is an important parameter for children. On the other hand COPES model has a loosely sequenced learning cycle and it does not depict the social cognitive theory precisely (Rovers et al., 2019). Social cognitive theory is important because SRL occurs through the interdependence of individual aspects, linked to feelings, emotions and thoughts, behavior, and the environment in which students find themselves (Zimmerman, 2000). With the help of social cognitive theory, we can assign meaning to learners’ behavior while the learner is using SRL skills.
Figure 1. Cyclical Phases Model of Self-regulation according to Zimmerman & Moylan (2009).

Mapping of the existing design guidelines to Zimmerman’s model is presented in Table 1.

### Table 1. Existing Design Guidelines for Scaffolding and Its Potential Pedagogical & Technological Operationalization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignment with phases of SRL model by Zimmermann</th>
<th>Design guidelines of SRL</th>
<th>Paper proposing the guidelines</th>
<th>How paper is operationalizing guideline in SRL (supporting arguments for operationalization of SRL)</th>
<th>Potential operationalization for scaffolding SRL in PAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forethought:</strong> Goal setting, outcome expectations, task interest/value, goal orientation</td>
<td>Guideline: have the students set simple, but realistic goals for the pre-class sessions. Support: Have students set a learning goal for a next pre-class session based on their performance in a previous pre-class session</td>
<td>(Yoon et al., 2021)</td>
<td>Allowing the students to set learning goals leads them to initiate the recursive self-regulated learning process toward attaining their ultimate goals. It is important to make the students begin with simple, but realistic goals so that they can have the opportunity to calibrate their goals as they progress. The students’ self-efficacy, fostered by their success in prior performances, will, in turn, affect their later self-set goals (Yoon et al., 2021, p. 4)</td>
<td>Computer-based learning environments may facilitate the individual goal setting template in which learners will set their next pre-class learning goals. Dynamic planning template attached with calendar with timely reminders and appreciation notes. It is the completion of a learning goal which will tell them distance from goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forethought: Goal setting, outcome expectations, task interest/ value, goal orientation</td>
<td>Guideline: Scaffolding to parents</td>
<td>(Muhammad &amp; Iqra, 2020)</td>
<td>Parental autonomy support to learners (Muhammad &amp; Iqra, 2020, p. 2)</td>
<td>PAL in ULE can facilitate opportunities for parents to give autonomy to learners using separate guidelines provided on the parental dashboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forethought: Goal setting, outcome expectations, task interest/ value, goal orientation</td>
<td>Guideline: Scaffolding by parents</td>
<td>(Zhang &amp; Whitebread, 2017)</td>
<td>Parents provide adequate metacognitive information in an understandable way and at an appropriate pace along with task-oriented-ness (Zhang &amp; Whitebread, 2017, p. 2)</td>
<td>Support to parents should be provided for the following points: 1) To effectively integrate ULE in their child’s learning process at home. 2) To make parents aware of their child’s learning behavior to enhance task oriented-ness like encouraging a child to do problem-solving, selection and decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance phase: imagery, metacognitive monitoring</td>
<td>Guideline: Organize instruction and activities to facilitate cognitive and metacognitive processes</td>
<td>(Ley &amp; Young, 2001; Laere et al., 2015)</td>
<td>Overt or covert rearrangement of instructional materials to improve learning (Ley &amp; Young, 2001, p. 2)</td>
<td>Organizing strategies like concept mapping, schematizing (arranging contents in a schematic form) can be implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance phase: imagery, metacognitive monitoring</td>
<td>Guideline: Use instructional goals and feedback to present the learner with monitoring opportunities</td>
<td>Record events or results to check its alignment with goals &amp; then feedback can be created (Ley &amp; Young, 2001)</td>
<td>Periodic constructive feedback and potential pain points can be highlighted by the PAL and presented before learners. Specific highlights for monitoring can help learners and save their time</td>
<td>Tools for monitoring and checking alignment with goals can be introduced. which checks the consistency of progress with goals and generates correct feedback as required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance phase: imagery, metacognitive monitoring</td>
<td>Guideline: Guide learners to prepare and structure an effective learning environment</td>
<td>Select or arrange the physical setting to make learning easier (Ley &amp; Young, 2001, p. 2)</td>
<td>1) Ask to list the distractions around learners. 2) Advise learners how to arrange physical environments and cope with distractions. 3) Providing a list of strategies will assist less self-regulating learners</td>
<td>Provide a checklist template of guidelines to be followed for setting up the learning environment. This can be followed by the learner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance phase: imagery, metacognitive monitoring</td>
<td>Guideline: Help the students accurately monitor their engagements in the pre-class sessions. Support: Use visualizations that show learning activity completion after each pre-class session; use visualizations to show both student progress and performance.</td>
<td>Allow students to monitor their own learning progress through a support to SRL that visualizes their online behaviors using their log data. The study revealed that the opportunity to obtain information about their learning progress had a positive impact on the students’ academic performances (Yoon et al., 2021, p. 4)</td>
<td>1) At the end of each week or fortnight, learners should be provided an opportunity to monitor their own progress so that they can understand their own learning process. 2) After the task, self-report questions</td>
<td>I) Through log data, scores and decisions they have made could be shown using a dashboard so that they can monitor. 2) Tech tool for self-report questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reflection: self-evaluation, self-satisfaction/affect, adaptive, defensive</td>
<td>Guideline: Provide learners with continuous evaluation information and occasions to self-evaluate</td>
<td>Evaluate completed work quality; reread tests to prepare for class (Ley &amp; Young, 2001, p. 2)</td>
<td>Evaluation could not be only comparison between the learner’s own performance to a standard, but for comparative outcome between performance and the set standard using them for self-judgment.</td>
<td>Technological options for setting and adjusting evaluation standards and goals can help.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Self-reflection, self-evaluation, self-satisfaction/affect, adaptive, defensive

Guideline: Scaffold design guidelines: 1) Diagnosis, 2) Calibrated support, 3) Fading, 4) Individualization (Personalized & Adaptive scaffolds)

Identifying needs and providing correct support till the learner gets mastery (Azevedo & Hadwin, 2005, p. 5)

Continuous diagnosis is required to calibrate/adjust the support of learners.

Remedial scaffolding agents: visualizations, animations, videos, games etc.

Research questions 1 and 2 are addressed in the above table (Table 2) by giving existing design guidelines for scaffolding self-regulation in PAL-based ULE. The first 2 columns are addressing RQ 1 by providing existing design guidelines and mapping with Zimmerman’s SRL model. To address RQ 3, in the last two columns, we have tried to propose potential pedagogical and technological operationalization of design guidelines for scaffolding self-regulation in PAL-based ULE for K-12 learners. All research papers reviewed have given empirical evidence for the effectiveness of design guidelines that addressed RQ 2.

The design guidelines for scaffolding SRL given in table 2 above are mapped with Zimmerman’s cyclical phases model. Those 3 broad phases are forethought, performance & self-reflection. These broad phases are further divided into micro-level sub-processes. In the forethought phase, learners analyze tasks, set goals, and plan to achieve the goal using different motivational strategies. In the performance phase, the learner executes tasks and monitors one’s own progress, and further uses self-control strategies. In the self-reflection phase, learners assess one’s own performance and attribute it to levels of success (Panadero, 2017).

4. Recommendations for pedagogical and technological scaffolding in PAL

Based on the literature survey highlighted in table 1, the recommendations for pedagogical & technological scaffolding for PAL-based ULE systems are given in the following table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignment with phases of SRL model by Zimmermann</th>
<th>Existing Design Guidelines for SRL</th>
<th>Pedagogical &amp; technological scaffolding recommendations for PAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forethought: Goal setting, outcome expectations, task interest/value, goal orientation</td>
<td>Guideline: Have the students set simple but realistic goals for the pre-class sessions. Support: have students set a learning goal for the next pre-class session based on their performance in a previous pre-class session.</td>
<td>Enable adjustment of learning goals in ULE: Facilitate goal setting option for learners using dashboard in PAL ULE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forethought: Goal setting, outcome expectations, task interest/value, goal orientation</td>
<td>Guideline: Foster student motivation by highlighting task values. Support: have the students ponder ways to transfer what they learned from the pre-class sessions to new contexts.</td>
<td>Provide an opportunity to transfer learning to real-life: Facilitate knowledge construction using a constructivist approach and facilitate objectively correct formative assessments for learners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forethought: Goal setting, outcome expectations, task interest/value, goal orientation</td>
<td>Guideline: Scaffolding by parents</td>
<td>Provide Learner autonomy: Equip learner with autonomy support to select learning content as per his/her goal and perception towards task value.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Forethought: Goal setting, outcome expectations, task interest/value, goal orientation

**Guideline:** Scaffolding to parents

**Provide Parent support:**
Provide support for parents by which effective adoption of PAL in their child’s learning becomes easy and parents could know the learning behavior of their child.

### Performance phase: imagery, metacognitive monitoring

**Guideline:** Organize instruction and activities to facilitate cognitive and metacognitive processes

**Provide Instructions in Constructive Approach:**
Facilitate instructions in a constructive way so that learners could build upon previous knowledge.

**Guideline:** Use instructional goals and feedback to present the learner with monitoring opportunities

**Provide Motivational features:**
Include features that motivate the learner intrinsically and extrinsically to explore the content.

**Guideline:** Guide learners to prepare and structure an effective learning environment

**Provide supporting guideline to structure the environment:**
To structure one’s own learning environment and cope with distractions (checklist).

**Guideline:** Help the students accurately monitor their engagements in the pre-class sessions. Support: Use visualizations that show learning activity completion after each pre-class session; use visualizations to show both student progress and performance

**Provide planning & monitoring for self-regulated learning:**
Provide sufficient guidance for planning and monitoring one’s own learning on the PAL dashboard.

**Guideline:** Provide learners with continuous evaluation information and occasions to self-evaluate

**Provide continuous real-time information for complementing self-evaluation:**
To reflect on one's learning, the technological dashboard could provide real-time information about learning in self-evaluation.

**Guideline:** Scaffold design guidelines: 1) Diagnosis, 2) calibrated support, 3) fading, 4) individualization

**Provide self-reflection scaffolding to the learner through design:**
To gain the correct understanding of the topic and relate it to real-life situations. But remove scaffolding slowly when learners get mastery in a skill.

### 5. Discussion

In this paper, we have reviewed prior research on scaffolds for facilitating self-regulated learning in PAL-based ULEs. The design guidelines for scaffolding self-regulation in PAL-based ULEs that emerged from this work show that such scaffolds should provide learners autonomy to select learning content as per their goal and perception towards the value of the task. Sufficient guidance for planning and monitoring one’s own learning is imperative in such systems. This can be implemented in the form of a dashboard with the real-time progress of the learner. Such real-time dashboards could nudge learners to become more responsible, self-regulated, and autonomous learners. In addition, with the aid of such dashboard scaffolds, learners will likely gain a deeper conceptual understanding of the topic as they can deliberate on which parts to should pay more attention to. Furthermore, instructions delivered
in a constructive way can help learners build on their previous knowledge and relate to real-life situations. Along with that, motivational support and increased involvement of parents with the help of integrated parent modules can help learners engage in self-regulated learning.

One of the unique contributions of this work is mapping the guidelines to different phases of SRL based on Zimermann’s cyclical phases model. Thus, these guidelines inform the ULE designers of the scaffolds required for supporting the flow of SRL. Some guidelines may appear counterintuitive to PAL-based ULE systems but these are important to consider as well. For example, support for parents is essential for making them aware of their child’s learning behavior and to effectively integrate ULE into the child’s learning process. Such parental supports will likely lead to better self-regulated learning amongst the learners. These guidelines can act as a stepping stone to decide what pedagogical and technological recommendations to include in a ULE. For instance, dashboards can have actionable information on learner progress and performance. In addition, they can also have additional sections to address planning and monitoring of goals attained.

6. Conclusion

PAL-based ULEs are systems that are meant to model an individual learner’s learning behavior and construct a personalized learning path on behalf of the learner. Yet learners need to be provided a level of autonomy to set their goals along with provision for re-adjustment of their goals after self-reflection on their performance. This underlines the importance of the above set of guidelines for ULE system designers. These guidelines provide the foundation on which further detailed scaffolding features and standards can be developed. Scaffolding self-regulation in ubiquitous learning environments (ULE) will help learners learn anywhere, anytime, and also in the most suitable way to achieve their learning goal. This could be a way forward to cope with learning losses due to school closure and lack of teacher-learner interaction happening in the current pandemic situation.
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